

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the present election and in view of the present amendment is respectfully requested. By the present amendment claims 15, 20, 21, 24 and 26 are cancelled. Claims 14, 16, 18, 22, 25 and 27-30 are amended, and new claim 31 is added. In particular, it is to be noted that independent claim 20 is cancelled and claim 14 is the only independent claim present. All of the dependent claims depend either directly or indirectly from the single independent claim 14. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the Restriction Requirement between invention I and II as set forth on page 2 of the Office action is now moot. Accordingly, the requirement is traversed for at least this reason.

Further, turning to the requirement set forth on page 3 of the Office action, as between groups IA-IC, this requirement is also respectfully traversed. It should be noted that each of the identified groups is not mutually exclusive. Accordingly, it is not proper to impose a restriction without such mutual exclusivity.

Turning to the Amendment of the claims, it is worth noting that the claims no longer recite the third pivotal element, i.e., the line wheel (30).

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. ABE1-38148.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

By:


Ronald M. Kachmarik—Reg. No. 34512

1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108
(216) 579-1700

Date: June 19, 2008